

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,931	02/14/2002	Kenji Furuya	70460	1696
75	590 04/01/2003			
McGLEW AND TUTTLE P.C. SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-0827			EXAMINER LE, DANG D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			10/075,931	FURUYA ET AL.			
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
L			Dang D Le	2834			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 February 2003</u> .						
	2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
	4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
1	Application Papers						
	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	10) $oxtimes$ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2002</u> is/are: a) $oxtimes$ accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to by the Examiner.						
		Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
	12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) 🖾 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).			
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 2) 3)	Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal E	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S.	Patent and Trac	Jemark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/075,931

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the word "comprises" at line 6. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 4, line
 replace "Figure 3" with Figures 3(a) to 3(e) --. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zimmerman.

Regarding claim 1, Zimmerman shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention with each of the commutator leg part (11) is formed separately from the corresponding commutator segment (1), and the commutator leg part (11) and the commutator segment (1) are fixed by welding.

Regarding claim 2, it is noted that Zimmerman also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/075,931

Art Unit: 2834

Page 3

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 7. Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zimmerman in view of Katagiri et al.

Regarding claims 3 and 4, Zimmerman shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for a disk-shaped varistor having a hole at its center is mounted over the base portions of said commutator leg parts the reverse side to the winding in an axial direction of on a shaft, and each electrode of the varistor is soldered onto the corresponding base portion.

Katagiri et al. show a disk-shaped varistor having a hole at its center mounted over the base portions of said commutator leg parts the reverse side to the winding in

Art Unit: 2834

an axial direction of on a shaft, and each electrode of the varistor is soldered onto the corresponding base portion for the purpose of reducing spark.

Since Zimmerman and Katagiri et al. are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include a varistor as taught by Katagiri et al. for the purpose discussed above.

Information on How to Contact USPTO

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-0156. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Jony Lle

March 30, 2003